

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/780,673 02/12/2001		Jong-Hee Han	P56319 8273		
8439 73	590 03/11/2005	EXAMINER			
ROBERT E. I 1522 K STREE			SRIVASTAVA, VIVEK		
SUITE 300	,1 1444		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1202			2611		

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/780,67	73	HAN, JONG-HEE			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Vivek Sriv	astava	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	8)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	l-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hasegawa (US 4,769,838).

Regarding claim 12, Hasegawa discloses a TV apparatus, inherently comprising a tuner, which is adjustable to selectively receive television programming (i.e. program or video images) and to retrieve jamming signals or 'discretionary control data' carried by the video signals (see col 4 lines 50 – 64). The retrieved jamming signals act on the AGC signals to prevent unauthorized reception of un-permitted channels (see col 4 lines 50 – 64) and thus discloses the claimed 'a viewing restricting stage detecting said discretionary control data of the program received through said tuner and blocking automatic gain control signals for said tuner receiving the program when the discretionary control data is greater than the discretionary threshold. It is noted that the discretionary threshold is met by the maintaining the amplitude of the jamming signals at a fixed amplitude level to the ordinary TV signals (see col 4 lines 50 – 62).

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa (4,769,838).

Regarding claim 14, Hasegawa fails to disclose the claimed further comprised of an additional tuner, with both tuners being independently tunable to simultaneously receive different video signals corresponding to different programs.

Official Notice is taken that utilization of two tuners can provide picture-in-picture capabilities or can result in faster channel changes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hasegawa to include the claimed limitation to provide picture-in-picture capabilities or faster channel changes.

Regarding claim 15, Hasegawa fails to disclose the claimed further comprised of an additional tuner, with both of said tuners being independently tunable to simultaneously receive identical video signals corresponding to said program.

Official Notice is taken that the utilization of two tuners can provide reception of the same program from two different sources thus providing a user with the option of

Art Unit: 2611

selecting the source for viewing a given program. For example, in the television art, it is well known to provide a user with option of viewing a given program received from CATV and satellite. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Hasegawa to include the claimed limitation to provide a user with option of viewing a program from a source of the user's choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1- 11 are allowed.

Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Callais et al (3,885,089) – Television scrambling system

Glaab (6,188,870) - Passive interdiction system for scrambling

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivek Srivastava whose telephone number is (703) 305-4038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (703) 305-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vs

3/5/05

PRIMARY EXAMINER